

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/48/,987 -1/11/00 01 /13 /60	BRUINSMA	·	1441-70-70
020878	EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLL		Paul Mortantine NTONI, F	
1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND OR 97205	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 COV. CLAMS AND 2000		1757 17	55 10
	•	DATE MAILED:	01/12/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION											
_	THE	E PERIOI	O FOR RESPONSE:								
a)		is extend	ded to run	or continues to run	3 MZS.	_ from the date of the final reje	ection				
b)		_									
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed resconse and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.										
		•	Brief is due in accordance with 3								
V	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/29/00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:										
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:										
	 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. 										
		b. 🔲 Th	ey raise new issues that would	require further considera	tion and/or sea	arch. (See Note).					
		c. 🗌 Th	ney raise the issue of new matte	r. (See Note).							
			ney are not deemed to place the	e application in better fo	rm for appeal t	by materially reducing or simpli	lying the issues for				
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.										
		NOTE:									
		-									
2.			proposed or amended claims allowable claims.	would	be allowed if s	submitted in a separately filed a	mendment cancelling				
3.	3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment uill be entered uill not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:										
			allowed:								
			objected to:		-						
		Claims r	ejected:								
		☐ Apr	However; dicant's response has overcome	the following rejection(:	s):						
		<u> </u>									
4.		The affin	davit, exhibit or request for record	nsideration has been co	nsidered but do	oes not overcome the rejection	because				
5.		The affic	lavit or exhibit will not be consided	ered because applicant	has not shown	good and sufficent reasons wh	ny it was not earlier				
_	_	,					11 1 and				
			d drawing correction has			miner.	(feel 1) I				
<u> </u>	Oth	er <i>Uy</i>	Mendments show We MPEP 1453,	le made aixe	ing to	1	PAUL MARCANTONI PRIMARY EXAMINER				

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

GROUP 1#00